

## Differentiation and Validation of Human iPSC-Derived Atrial Cardiomyocytes

Kathy Sutton<sup>1</sup>, Said El Haou<sup>1</sup>, Sarah Williams<sup>1</sup>, Harsha Devalla<sup>2</sup>, Sonja Stölzle-Feix<sup>3</sup>, Krisztina Juhasz<sup>3</sup>, Leo Doerr<sup>3</sup>, Matthias Beckler<sup>3</sup>, Claudia Haarmann<sup>3</sup>,

Michael George<sup>3</sup>, Robert Passier<sup>2,4</sup>, Niels Fertig<sup>3</sup>, Marc Rogers<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Metrion Biosciences, Granta Park, Cambridge, UK. <sup>2</sup>Dept. of Anatomy & Embryology, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands. <sup>3</sup>Nanion Technologies, Munich, Germany. <sup>4</sup>Department of Applied Stem Cell Technologies, MIRA Institute for Biomedical Technology and Technical Medicine, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands.

## Introduction

There is a growing trend for utilisation of native human cells in drug discovery to overcome common translational disconnects between *in vitro* screening data, preclinical animal models, and clinical trials in man. Translational assays using cardiomyocytes derived from human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSC) are increasingly appreciated as an accessible cell source for cardiac disease modelling, drug screening, and safety pharmacology.

Previously, we have shown that human embryonic stem cell derived atrial cardiomyocytes (ACMs) can be used for assessing atrial-selective pharmacology (Devalla *et al.*, 2015). Here, we show improved, robust monolayer-based production of functional hiPSC-ACMs for atrial drug screening.

## 2. Electrophysiological validation of hiPSC-ACMs

m	е	†	ri	0	r	٦
<b>b</b>	o i o	S C	i e	n c	е	S

A. Control conditions

AP Parameter	Spontaneous (n = 56)
MDP (mV)	-66.7 ± 0.9
dV/dt <sub>max</sub> (V/s)	25.5 ± 2.6
Amp (mV)	88.6 ±1.6
APD20 (ms)	139.9 ± 7.4
APD50 (ms)	204.1 ± 7.9
APD90 (ms)	485.8 ± 10.3
Frequency (Hz)	$0.4 \pm 0.0$

Table 1: Spontaneous action potential parameters.

#### **B.** Atrial selective pharmacology





Fig. 4: Currents in voltage clamp. Na $_v$ , Ca $_v$  and K $_v$ 1.5 currents recorded in physiological conditions.



### Materials and Methods

#### Differentiation of hiPSC-ACMs: LDN

hiPSCs seeded as a monolayer were differentiated to ACMs using a method described previously (Devalla *et al.*, 2015). Differentiated ACMs were enriched by flow cytometry for the cardiac surface marker, Signal-regulatory protein alpha (SIRPA).

#### mRNA/protein expression: LDN

Gene and protein expression was assessed in hiPSC-ACMs at day 21 using standard techniques. Primer sequences are available on request.

#### Manual patch clamp: MET

hiPSC-ACMs were cultured onto Matrigel-coated coverslips at 37 °C (5 % CO<sub>2</sub>). All data were recorded 7-10 days post-seeding at RT using perforated patch clamp (100  $\mu$ g/ml Gramicidin) with physiological solutions (Ma *et al.*, 2011). Data were acquired with EPC10 amplifiers and PatchMaster software (HEKA Elektronik, Germany). Analog signals were low-pass filtered at 10 kHz before digitization at 20 kHz. Data were analysed using CAPA software (SSCE UG, Germany) and FitMaster (HEKA). The AP parameters analysed in this study are shown in Figure 1. All spontaneous APD were rate corrected using Friderica's correction. Data are reported as mean ± SEM. Statistical comparisons were performed using a



#### C. Core cardiac pharmacology



**Fig. 5A: AP elicitation in current clamp mode.** APs elicited by increasing current stimuli (from 0 pA, in 50 pA increments) **B: APD50.** Duration change by 250 µM Tetracaine

## 4. hiPSC-ACMs in label-free contractility and extracellular field potential assays





#### A. EFP readings (Field Potential Duration, FPD)



#### Paired Student's *t*-test.



#### Fig. 1: Action potential parameters analysed.

Example action potential (AP) indicating the parameters quantified in this study.

#### Automated patch clamp (APC): NAN

The APC experiments presented on this poster were performed on the Patchliner (PL) or SyncroPatch (SP) 384/768PE as indicated. Experiments were all performed in regular electrophysiological salt solutions. Both systems have the option of temperature control and their amplifiers the option of current clamp (Patchliner: HEKA EPC10, SP 384PE: Tecella).

#### CardioExcyte 96: NAN

The CardioExcyte 96 is a hybrid system combining impedance and EFP recordings from the same cell monolayer. It provides complementary data to other assays such as patch clamp.

### 1. hiPSC-ACM Molecular characterisation

#### Fig. 3: Characterisation of hiPSC-ACM action potentials.

**A**: Average spontaneous AP parameters in control conditions. **B**: Pharmacological confirmation of atrial phenotype using Carbachol ( $I_{KACh}$ ) and 4-AP ( $I_{Kur}$ ). Top: Representative spontaneous AP under control conditions (grey) and in the presence of 1  $\mu$ M Carbachol (orange) and 50  $\mu$ M 4-AP (purple). Bottom: Average effect (% of control) for each compound. **C**: The presence of key cardiac currents,  $I_{Na}$ ,  $I_{Ca,L}$ , and  $I_{Kr}$ , in hiPSC-ACM were confirmed using Lidocaine, Nifedipine, and E-4031, respectively. Top: Representative spontaneous AP under control conditions (grey) and in the presence of 100  $\mu$ M Lidocaine (green), 100 nM Nifedipine (blue), and 100 nM E-4031 (red). Bottom: Average effect (% of control) for each compound.



Time / h

 4-AP increased the FPD (no effect with Carbachol)

#### B. Impedance (beat rate)





A. Quantitative expression levels of key cardiac markers hiPSC-ACMs
hiPSC-VCMs



B. hiPSC-ACMs express PITX2



**Fig. 2A:** hiPSC-ACMs preferentially expressed atrial markers such as transcription factors, *COUP-TFI*, *COUP-TFII* and ion channel genes *KCNA5*, *KCNJ3*, *KCNJ5*, compared to hiPSC-derived ventricular cardiomyocytes (hiPSC-VCMs). As expected, hiPSC-VCMs preferentially expressed ventricular markers such as *MYL2*, *IRX4* and *HEY2*. **B:** hiPSC-ACMs express left-atrial marker PITX2.

# 3. Suitability of hiPSC-ACM for automated patch clamp

nan]i[on

## Patchliner & SyncroPatch 384/768PE

hiPSC-ACM were recorded in automated patch clamp systems Patchliner and SyncroPatch 384 PE.  $Na_v$ ,  $Ca_v$  and  $K_v$  currents could be identified in the voltage clamp mode. Furthermore, in current clamp, APs could be elicited successfully.

Success rate cell catch/seal
Na <sub>v</sub> 1.5 peak (pA)
Ca <sub>v</sub> 1.2 peak (pA)
K <sub>v</sub> 1.5 peak (pA)
Cells with AP

Planar Patch Clamp: "Cell towards the aperture"

**Table 2:** Success rate and recorded values. Only cells reaching a threshold of Na<sub>v</sub> current showed APs in the current clamp mode.

Parameter (n) 65 %

772 ± 1889 (11)

162 (1)

264 ± 140 (4)

10 %

 Carbachol caused a temporary decrease in beat rate on the CE96 (mimicking the transient nature of the electrophysiological pharmacology response, right)

Fig. 6: EFP and Impedance recordings. hiPSC-ACMs were successufly grown on sensor plates and revealed atrial-specific pharmacological reactions to compounds 4-AP (50  $\mu$ M) and Carbachol (1  $\mu$ M).

## Conclusions

- hiPSC-ACMs derived using a monolayer differentiation protocol express an atrial-like phenotype and are amenable for screening on both electrophysiological and phenotypic platforms.
- hiPSC-ACMs are a promising assay reagent for studying the role of ion channels implicated in atrial fibrillation.

#### Acknowledgements

This project received funding from the Eurostars-2 joint program with co-funding from the European Union Horizon 2010 research and innovation program.

#### References

Devalla et al. (2015) EMBO Mol Med. **7**; 394-410. Ma et al. (2011) Am J Physiol Heart Cir Physiol. **301**; H2006-H2017.

Time / h